



IAP15 Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 SEP 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Gilles LAIGNEAU et al

Art Unit: 1761

Application No.: 10/520,940

Conf. No.9508

Examiner: T. SSIMONE

Filed: January 12, 2005

Washington, D.C.

For: CARTRIDGE-OPERATED COFFEE MACHINE

Atty.'s Docket: LAIGNEAU=1

Date: September 28, 2006

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building, Mail Stop AMENDMENT
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir,

Transmitted herewith is a RESPONSE in the above-identified application.☐ Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.☐ No additional fee is required.☒ The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
TOTAL	* 11	MINUS	** 20	
INDEP.	* 1	MINUS	*** 3	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM				

SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 25	\$
x 100	\$
+ 180	\$
ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL	
	\$

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 50	\$
x 200	\$
+ 360	\$
TOTAL	
	\$

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

☒ Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

☐ It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within

☐ First - \$ 60.00
☐ Second - \$ 225.00
☐ Third - \$ 510.00
☐ Fourth - \$ 795.00

Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity

Response Filed Within

☐ First - \$ 120.00
☒ Second - \$ 450.00
☐ Third - \$ 1020.00
☐ Fourth - \$ 1590.00

Month After Time Period Set

☐ Less fees (\$) already paid for month(s) extension of time on .☐ Terminal Disclaimer fee of \$.☒ Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$ 450.00.☐ A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.).

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

10/03/2006 LLANDGRA 00000030 10520940

01 FC:1252

450.00 OP

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
Telephone: (202) 628-5197

By:

Jay M. Finkelstein
Registration No. 21,082



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: LAIGNEAU=1

In re Application of:)	Art Unit: 1761
)	
Gilles LAIGNEAU et al)	Examiner: T. SIMONE
)	
Appln. No.: 10/520,940)	Washington, D.C.
)	
Filed: January 12, 2005)	Confirmation No. 9508
)	
For: CARTRIDGE-OPERATED COFFEE)	September 28, 2006
MACHINE)	

RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In response to the action dated April 28, 2006,

Remarks begin on page 2 of this paper.